Mechanism of an intramembrane chaperone for multipass membrane proteins

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05336-2

Received: 14 February 2022

Accepted: 12 September 2022

Published online: 19 October 2022

Check for updates

Luka Smalinskaitė^{1,3}, Min Kyung Kim 1,3 , Aaron J. O. Lewis 1 , Robert J. Keenan 2 & Ramanujan S. Hegde $^{1\boxtimes}$

Multipass membrane proteins play numerous roles in biology and include receptors. transporters, ion channels and enzymes^{1,2}. How multipass proteins are co-translationally inserted and folded at the endoplasmic reticulum is not well understood². The prevailing model posits that each transmembrane domain (TMD) of a multipass protein successively passes into the lipid bilayer through a front-side lateral gate of the Sec61 protein translocation channel³⁻⁹. The PAT complex, an intramembrane chaperone comprising Asterix and CCDC47, engages early TMDs of multipass proteins to promote their biogenesis by an unknown mechanism¹⁰. Here, biochemical and structural analysis of intermediates during multipass protein biogenesis showed that the nascent chain is not engaged with Sec61, which is occluded and latched closed by CCDC47. Instead, Asterix binds to and redirects the substrate to a location behind Sec61, where the PAT complex contributes to a multipass translocon surrounding a semi-enclosed, lipid-filled cavity¹¹. Detection of multiple TMDs in this cavity after their emergence from the ribosome suggests that multipass proteins insert and fold behind Sec61. Accordingly, biogenesis of several multipass proteins was unimpeded by inhibitors of the Sec61 lateral gate. These findings elucidate the mechanism of an intramembrane chaperone and suggest a new framework for multipass membrane protein biogenesis at the endoplasmic reticulum.

The first transmembrane domain (TMD1) of most membrane proteins inserts into the lipid bilayer by passing through a lateral gate in the Sec61 protein translocation channel^{3,8,12,13}. TMD1 of membrane proteins with short exoplasmic N-terminal domains, such as most G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), can be inserted independently of the Sec61 lateral gate by the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) membrane protein complex (EMC)^{14,15}, a member of the Oxa1 family of TMD insertases^{16,17}. With either route, the ribosome nascent chain complex (RNC) is ultimately docked tightly at Sec61 (refs. ^{4,7,18,19}) where the later steps of multipass protein biogenesis are thought to occur by hitherto unclear mechanisms².

The long-standing paradigm envisions iterative TMD insertion via the Sec61 lateral gate^{3,13} followed by intramembrane packing of TMDs into the correct tertiary structure^{20,21}. Optimal biogenesis of multipass. but not single-pass, membrane proteins was recently found to require the PAT complex, a heterodimer of CCDC47 and Asterix^{10,22}. The PAT complex interacts co-translationally with semihydrophilic TMDs throughout multipass protein biogenesis^{10,23,24}, suggesting an intramembrane chaperone function. The PAT complex probably engages the ribosome as part of a poorly characterized multipass translocon with Sec61 and other factors^{2,25}, but its role and mechanism during membrane protein biogenesis are unclear.

Recruitment of the PAT complex to Sec61

We analysed a series of early insertion intermediates of rhodopsin (Rho), a 7-TMD GPCR and model multipass membrane protein, produced by in vitro translation using cytosol from reticulocyte lysate and ER microsomes from canine pancreas. These experiments used a construct (termed Rho^{ext}) containing the first two TMDs of Rho preceded by an extended N terminus and epitope tag (Fig. 1a; characterized in Extended Data Fig. 1a–d). Immunoblotting of sequential Rho^{ext} insertion intermediates affinity purified via the nascent chain showed stable PAT complex recruitment to Sec61-associated RNCs when around 70 residues had been synthesized downstream of TMD1 (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 1e).

TRAM2, an unrelated multipass membrane protein whose topology is opposite to that of Rho, also recruited the PAT complex in a nascent chain length-dependent manner (Extended Data Fig. 1f). Recruitment of the PAT complex to Rho^{ext} RNCs was reduced by increasing TMD1 hydrophobicity (Fig. 1c), consistent with the hydrophilicity requirement for substrate crosslinking to Asterix¹⁰. Thus, the PAT complex is stably recruited to the ribosome–Sec61 complex by membrane proteins of either topology at roughly the same tether length beyond TMD1 (after accounting for their opposite orientations; Extended Data Fig. 1g).

Architecture of the PAT complex at Sec61

The Rho^{ext} RNC at the point of initial PAT complex engagement was analysed by single-particle electron cryomicroscopy (cryo-EM; Extended Data Fig. 2a,b). The overall resolution of the PAT complex-containing map was 3.3 Å, with local resolutions from 2.8 Å for most of the ribosome

¹MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Cell Biology Division, Cambridge, UK. ²Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, The University of Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA. ³These authors contributed equally: Luka Smalinskaite, Min Kyung Kim. ^{Se}e-mail: rhegde@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk



Fig. 1 | **Substrate-mediated recruitment of the PAT complex to Sec61. a**, Diagram of the Rho^{ext} construct (not to scale) containing the first two TMDs and flanking regions of bovine Rho (amino acids 32–111, Uniprot ID P02699). The Rho region is preceded by a signal sequence (ss) and a polypeptide of 52 amino acids containing a site for N-linked glycosylation (Glyc.) to monitor translocation. The experimental strategy used to analyse intermediates of Rho^{ext} is indicated. **b**, RNCs of FLAG-tagged Rho^{ext} truncated at the indicated lengths (in amino acids, aa) beyond TMD1 were assembled by in vitro translation in rabbit reticulocye lysate (RRL) containing canine pancreas rough microsomes (cRMs). RNCs were affinity purified via the FLAG tag and analysed

to about 7 Å for poorly resolved regions of associated membrane proteins (Extended Data Fig. 2c). The map contained well-resolved density for the Sec61 complex, the cytosolic domain of CCDC47 and the membrane domains of TMEM147 and Nicalin at locations seen in earlier work¹¹ (Fig. 2 and Extended Data Fig. 3). The cytosolic domain of TMCO1 and the lumenal domain of the translocon-associated protein (TRAP) complex could be seen at their previously observed locations^{11,26} at low contour levels.

TMEM147 and Nicalin interact with NOMO to form an obligate heterotrimer^{25,27} whose function is not known. We term this complex BOS (back of Sec61) due to its location. TMCO1 is a member of the Oxa1 insertase family¹⁶ and forms an obligate complex with C20Orf24, which we name OPTI (obligate partner of TMCO1 insertase)²⁵. The TMCO1– OPTI complex is homologous to the GET1–GET2 and EMC3–EMC6 subcomplexes within EMC²⁸, both of which mediate TMD insertion^{17,29}. We term the TMCO1–OPTI complex GEL (for GET- and EMC-like). As shown elsewhere²⁵, nascent membrane proteins trigger not only PAT complex recruitment (Fig. 1) but also the BOS and GEL complexes. We focus primarily on the PAT complex, with the BOS and GEL complexes described briefly later and in the Supplementary Discussion.

High-confidence AlphaFold2 predictions of the PAT, BOS and Sec61 complexes^{30,31} (Extended Data Fig. 4) were fitted into the map and adjusted conservatively to generate a model of RNCs engaged with these complexes (Fig. 2a,b and Extended Data Fig. 3). The GEL and TRAP complexes were omitted from the model due to their low occupancy and poor resolution, respectively. The Sec61 channel is occluded by its plug helix and the lateral gate is closed, similar to the 'primed' state of Sec61 bound to non-translating ribosomes³². The PAT complex is

by immunoblotting relative to serial twofold dilutions of RMs. Rho^{ext} tagged with the twin-Strep tag (TST) and truncated 70 amino acids beyond TMD1 served as a specificity control for anti-FLAG affinity purification. The diagram below (not to scale) depicts key stages of biogenesis as deduced from the results. **c**, Affinity purification of TST-tagged Rho^{ext} RNCs, similar to **b**, for constructs in which TMD1 contains mutations: NL→LR denotes N55L and L47R mutations, and NGT→LLL changes N55, G51 and T58 to leucines. FLAG-tagged WT Rho^{ext} served as a specificity control for purifications via the TST. The substrates in this experiment do not contain a glycosylation site. Source data for all gels in this and other figures can be found in Supplementary Fig. 1.

located behind the Sec61 complex (Fig. 2a), whose front side is defined by its lateral gate used for signal sequence and TMD insertion³³⁻³⁵.

The globular domain of CCDC47 contacts ribosomal protein eL6 and several sites along 28S ribosomal RNA. C-terminal to this globular domain, CCDC47 contains three helices that run along the surface of the ribosome making contacts with uL22 and various parts of the 28S rRNA. The last two of these helices, which we term the latch helices, are separated by a sharp bend at the point of contact with Sec61 α . The final helix culminates inside the mouth of the ribosomal exit tunnel, substantially narrowing the otherwise wide opening and abutting the emerging nascent chain (Extended Data Fig. 3e,f).

Site-specific photo-crosslinking was used to validate our placement of Asterix and identify its substrate-binding surface (Fig. 2c–e). Semipermeabilized (SP) Asterix knockout (KO) cells were reconstituted by in vitro translation of Asterix variants containing the photo-crosslinking amino acid benzoyl-phenylalanine (BPA) at a variety of desired positions (Extended Data Fig. 5a–c). The sum of crosslinking data verifies the AlphaFold2-predicted CCDC47-interacting region, which we term the back of Asterix (Fig. 2e and Extended Data Fig. 5d), and defines Asterix's front-side substrate-binding surface (Fig. 2e and Extended Data Fig. 5e).

Substrate-binding domain of Asterix

The substrate-binding surface of Asterix is about 70 Å away from the back side of Sec61 and the ribosome exit tunnel (Fig. 2a,b). This position means that approximately 25–35 residues of unstructured polypeptide are needed to span the distance from the exit tunnel to Asterix. Accounting for around a further 35 residues inside the ribosome,



Fig. 2 | **Structure of the PAT complex recruited to Sec61. a**, Composite map (top) and model (bottom) of the Rho-2TMD translocation intermediate stalled 70 amino acids beyond TMD1 (see also Fig. 1b). Density for complexes PAT and BOS derives from submaps obtained after focused classification on each complex (Extended Data Fig. 2). The PAT complex is shown at two contour levels, to visualize the lower-resolution membrane domain simultaneously with the higher-resolution ribosome proximal regions. The GEL and TRAP complexes, visible only at very low contour levels, were not modelled. The detergent micelle has been removed for visual clarity. **b**, View from the ER lumen of the Rho-2TMD translocation intermediate model. **c**, Site-specific photo-crosslinking from BPA installed in Asterix by amber (Amb.) suppression at residue 42 or 62. SP Asterix KO cells were reconstituted with

a TMD cannot reach Asterix until approximately 60-70 downstream residues have been synthesized, explaining earlier crosslinking data¹⁰ and the length at which stable PAT complex recruitment is seen (Fig. 1b and Extended Data Fig. 1g).

The substrate-binding domain is highly conserved and amphiphilic (Fig. 3a). Several hydrophilic side chains (mostly serine) are interspersed with the long and flexible hydrophobic side chains of eight methionine residues. Mutants that disrupt the amphiphilic surface, by changing several polar amino acids to leucine, reduced substrate photo-crosslinking to Asterix (Fig. 3b). Similarly, replacement of multiple methionine residues, particularly those in TMD1 of Asterix, for the less flexible but similarly hydrophobic valine also impaired substrate photo-crosslinking.

Both hydrophilicity and side-chain flexibility are therefore important for the optimal interaction of Asterix with substrate TMDs. This arrangement is well suited to binding and stabilization of partially hydrophilic TMDs in the membrane. Furthermore, the flexibility of methionine side chains would help accommodate diverse substrates. Methionine-rich TMD binding sites are used widely in the cytosol by targeting factors^{36,37}, chaperones³⁸⁻⁴⁰ and quality control factors⁴¹. That Asterix employs a similar strategy suggests that TMD recognition in the membrane shares mechanistic principles with recognition in the cytosol.

CCDC47 impedes opening of Sec61

The sharp bend between the latch helices of CCDC47 is wedged between the ribosome and the N-terminal half (N-half) of Sec61 α

³⁵S-methionine-labelled Asterix-FLAG variants (Extended Data Fig. 5d), irradiated with UV and analysed either directly (total products) or after immunoprecipitation (IP). Red arrows indicate the Asterix-CCDC47 adduct. **d**, Analysis of substrate-Asterix photo-crosslinking from BPA installed at amber codons at the indicated positions in Asterix. Asterix KO cells were reconstituted with non-radioactive Asterix-FLAG variants as in **c**, then incubated with ³⁵S-methionine-labelled RNCs of Rho-2TMD. After UV irradiation, samples were analysed directly or after IP. Non-glycosylated and glycosylated substrate (Rho and Rho + glyc., respectively) and the adduct to Asterix (x-Asterix) are indicated. **e**, Summary of all site-specific photocrosslinking results (**d** and Extended Data Fig. 5d, e) mapped onto the PAT complex structure, shown in three different views.

(Fig. 3c). CCDC47 makes close contacts with the cytosolic loop between Sec61 α lateral gate helices TMD2 and TMD3. When substrates pass through Sec61's lateral gate, its N-half must rotate away from the ribosome-bound C-half³⁴. With CCDC47 in position, this rotation would be impeded by clashes with the latch helices (Extended Data Fig. 6a). Hence, CCDC47 constrains Sec61 to a closed conformation. This constraint probably explains why the cryo-EM map containing CCDC47 shows relatively strong density for the N-half of Sec61 whereas in maps lacking CCDC47 the N-half density is typically weak, indicating flexibility (Extended Data Fig. 6b).

Another consequence of CCDC47 binding is that the latch helices are positioned between the mouth of the ribosome exit tunnel and the cytosolic vestibule of Sec61. This configuration markedly obstructs nascent chain access to the vestibule and lateral gate on the opposite side (Fig. 3c). By disfavouring vestibule access, the nascent chain instead would be directed behind Sec61 toward the multipass translocon components. Routing of the nascent chain in this direction is also favoured by TMD1 being held about 70 Å behind Sec61 by Asterix. Thus, after the PAT complex has been engaged, downstream hydrophobic sequences would be disfavoured from insertion via the Sec61 lateral gate.

We tested this prediction by determining whether a hydrophobic element that emerges at a PAT complex-engaged translocon is impaired in accessing Sec61 (Fig. 3d). Cleavable N-terminal signal sequences are known to function even when placed in an internal location⁴², allowing us to use cleavage as an assay for Sec61 engagement. Sec61-dependent cleavage of the secretory protein preprolactin placed downstream of



Fig. 3 PAT complex binds the substrate and redirects it to the back of Sec61. a, Substrate-binding surface of Asterix showing conservation (top), hydrophobicity (middle) and positions subject to mutagenesis (bottom). b, Top: photo-crosslinking from a BPA probe in place of F56 in TMD1 of the Rho-2TMD substrate, SP Asterix KO cells were reconstituted with either WT or mutant Asterix-FLAG variants and tested for photo-crosslinking with ³⁵S-methionine-labelled Rho-2TMD RNCs, similar to Fig. 2d. The adducts to Asterix (x-Asterix) and SRP54 (x-SRP54, from the non-translocated population of RNCs) are indicated. Bottom: immunoblot for Asterix verifying comparable levels of reconstitution for each mutant. The diagram above the gel indicates which positions were mutated (indicated by 'X'), using the positional scheme in the bottom diagram of a. Hydrophilic (teal) and methionine (yellow) residues were mutated to leucine and valine, respectively. c, Three views of the Rho 2TMD structure showing that CCDC47's latch helices are wedged between the ribosome and a closed Sec61. d, Left: experimental strategy used to measure signal peptide access to the Sec61 lateral gate downstream of a membrane domain. Right: ³⁵S-methionine-labelled fusion proteins were translated with WT or CCDC47 KO (Δ) SP cells in the absence or presence of 2 μ M ApraA. Pelleted cells were analysed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. Percentage processing was calculated by dividing the amount of processed prolactin domain by the sum of that plus unprocessed glycosylated product (+glyc.). Sec61-dependent processing is percentage processing in the absence of Sec61 inhibitor minus that seen in the presence of inhibitor. Quantification from three experiments (mean \pm s.d.) is shown below the gel. *P = 0.01 compared with other samples by two-tailed Student's t-test.

an artificial TMD (containing 22 leucines), which does not recruit the PAT complex (Extended Data Fig. 7h), was around 64%. Cleavage did not occur in the absence of ER, was prevented by an inhibitor of the Sec61 lateral gate and was unchanged when CCDC47 was knocked out. When preprolactin was preceded by a Rho membrane domain that recruits the PAT complex, Sec61-dependent cleavage efficiency was notably lower (39%). Importantly, this impairment was completely reversed (66% cleavage) when CCDC47 was deleted. Thus, PAT complex recruitment by an upstream membrane domain impedes a downstream hydrophobic domain from engaging the Sec61 complex, consistent with the structural observations shown in Fig. 3c.

Multipass translocon bound to substrate

After the PAT complex has initially engaged TMD1 of Rho, the next step following further elongation would be insertion of TMD2 and TMD3 accompanied by translocation of the intervening loop. To investigate how this step might work in the context of a closed Sec61, we affinity purified a Rho^{ext} insertion intermediate at a length just after TMD3 has emerged from the ribosome, when TMD4 is still inside the ribosome (Fig. 4a), and analysed it by quantitative mass spectrometry, immunoblotting and cryo-EM.

This Rho-4TMD intermediate (and an analogous late TRAM2 insertion intermediate) showed enrichment of the PAT, GEL and BOS complexes (Fig. 4a,b and Extended Data Fig. 7a–c). Cryo-EM analysis of the Rho-4TMD intermediate (Extended Data Fig. 8), combined with high-confidence predictions of each multipass translocon subcomplex (Extended Data Fig. 4), allowed us to place them relative to Sec61 (Fig. 4c). Compared with the earlier intermediate (Fig. 2a) the occupancy of the GEL complex was higher whereas the PAT and BOS complexes were largely unchanged. The other major difference was visualization of a membrane-spanning helix, assigned to TMD3 of Rho based on subsequent crosslinking data, immediately outside the exit tunnel behind the closed Sec61 complex.

Site-specific photo-crosslinking using probes in the Rho-4TMD intermediate showed that TMD1 is adjacent to Asterix, TMD2 is adjacent to TMCO1 and TMD3 is at the back of Sec61 (Fig. 4d and Extended Data Fig. 7d, e). Thus, the three TMDs of this Rho intermediate reside in the cavity behind the Sec61 complex surrounded by the other multipass translocon components. This intermediate represents the post-insertion state in which the TMD2–TMD3 unit has just been translocated into the cavity between the back of Sec61 and the multipass translocon subcomplexes. The proximity of TMD2 to the GEL complex suggested that it facilitates insertion of the TMD2–TMD3 unit. Consistent with this idea, this insertion step is reduced when the GEL complex is deleted (Extended Data Fig. 7f), which does not appreciably impair recruitment of the PAT and BOS complexes to Sec61 (Extended Data Fig. 7g) or TMD1 engagement of the PAT complex (Extended Data Fig. 7h).

Protein biogenesis with Sec61 inhibitors

Our findings suggest that, after assembly of the multipass translocon, TMD insertion does not rely on the Sec61 lateral gate. For GPCRs, whose first TMD can use EMC for insertion¹⁴, biogenesis would occur completely independently of the Sec61 lateral gate. Consistent with this idea, Rho biogenesis in vitro (Fig. 4e) and in cells (Fig. 4f) was completely unimpaired by Apratoxin A (ApraA), a broadly potent Sec61 inhibitor. Asialoglycoprotein receptor 1 (ASGR1), a single-pass membrane protein known to use Sec61 for insertion⁵, was strongly inhibited whereas the post-translationally inserted EMC substrate squalene synthase (SQS)¹⁷ was refractory (Fig. 4e,f). Although Sec61 activity was not needed, Sec61 protein was required for Rho biogenesis (Fig. 4f), presumably because it is needed as a ribosome receptor⁴³ and helps nucleate assembly of the multipass translocon.



Fig. 4 | **Insertion of multipass proteins independently of Sec61 activity. a**, Tandem mass tag (TMT) mass spectrometry of proteins co-purified with early and late Rho^{ext} insertion intermediates (see diagram). The top four proteins differentially recovered with the late intermediate are indicated. Source data are given in Supplementary Table 1. **b**, Samples prepared as in **a**, and a negative control lacking substrate, were analysed by immunoblotting for the indicated proteins. **c**, Cryo-EM map (left) and map overlaid with model (right) of the Rho-4TMD translocation intermediate (stalled 40 amino acids beyond TMD3) containing complexes PAT, BOS, GEL and Sec61. The PAT complex is shown at two contour levels, and the detergent micelle was removed for visual clarity. The position of the substrate is based on crosslinking data showing that TMD1, TMD2 and TMD3 are adjacent to Asterix, TMCO1 and the closed Sec61 complex, respectively. **d**, Photo-crosslinking of ³⁵S-methionine-labelled Rho-4TMD translocation intermediates via BPA at the

substrates (Rho and Rho + glyc., respectively), and the adducts to Asterix (x-Asterix), TMCO1 (x-TMCO1), Sec61 β (x-S61 β) and Sec61 α (x-S61 α) verified in Extended Data Fig. 7d,e, are indicated. **e**, ln vitro translation reactions of ASGR1 and Rho in the absence or presence of RMs and 2 μ M ApraA were analysed by protease protection. Translation products were digested with Proteinase K (PK) where indicated. Red arrows represent PK-protected fragments indicative of successful translocation. **f**, Cell lines containing the indicated inducible fluorescent protein reporters (Extended Data Fig. 9f) were treated with either control or Sec61A1-targeting small interfering RNAs. Reporter expression was induced in the presence or absence of 200 nM ApraA, and cells were analysed by flow cytometry. Histograms of normalized reporter expression are shown. SQS is a tail-anchored protein inserted by EMC.

Absence of reliance on Sec61 activity was seen for two other GPCRs (β 1AR and AGTR2) and with two other structurally unrelated Sec61 inhibitors in vitro and in cells (Extended Data Fig. 9). By contrast, cleavable signal sequences and signal anchors that are known to pass through the Sec61 lateral gate are partially or completely blocked by the same inhibitors. β 1AR and AGTR2 were rendered sensitive to Sec61 inhibitors by appending an N-terminal extracellular domain preceded by a signal sequence (Extended Data Fig. 9h).

Similarly, C3AR1, a GPCR that contains a long translocated domain between TMD4 and TMD5, requires Sec61 activity specifically at this step of biogenesis (Extended Data Fig. 9d). C3AR1 translocation becomes refractory to Sec61 inhibition when this loop is shortened. Thus, Sec61 activity is dispensable for translocation of short domains, whether at the N terminus or between internal TMDs, but becomes essential when long domains need to be translocated across the ER membrane. Long inter-TMD segments of polypeptide might trigger displacement of CCDC47's latch helices, whose C-terminal region probes the ribosome exit tunnel (Extended Data Fig. 3f and Supplementary Discussion). This displacement would temporarily relieve Sec61 occlusion by CCDC47, allowing the immediately preceding TMD to initiate translocation of its downstream soluble domain through the Sec61 channel.

Model for multipass protein biogenesis

Our findings lead to a model for multipass membrane protein biogenesis that differs from the long-standing paradigm of Sec61-mediated sequential TMD insertion (Supplementary Discussion). Once a semihydrophilic early TMD has a downstream tether of around 70 Å to the ribosome exit tunnel, the TMD can engage Asterix's amphiphilic substrate-binding surface. This interaction favours stable residence at the ribosome of the PAT complex, whose latch helices then disfavour Sec61 access and opening. At the same time, the GEL and BOS complexes are recruited concomitant with OST displacement to form the multipass translocon²⁵. The

next two TMDs then emerge from the ribosome behind a closed Sec61 and insert as a pair into the multipass translocon's lipid-filled cavity. Translocation of the loop between these two TMDs may be facilitated by a thinned membrane adjacent to the conserved semihydrophilic interior surface of the GEL complex (Extended Data Fig. 8d).

As with other Oxal family members^{2,44}, translocation by the GEL complex is probably limited to loops of fewer than around 50 amino acids, explaining why translocation of long loops requires Sec61. When long loops do emerge from the ribosome, a mechanism might exist to temporarily displace CCDC47's latch helices and allow Sec61 engagement (Supplementary Discussion). Long translocated loops between internal TMD pairs are far less common than short loops⁴⁵, suggesting that the majority of multipass protein biogenesis occurs independently of Sec61's lateral gate. The semishielded lipid cavity of the multipass translocon would provide a site for accumulation and packing of around seven TMDs, which can egress into the bulk membrane via the large gap between Asterix and the back of Sec61. Because most larger membrane proteins are built of modules comprising seven or fewer TMDs^{46,47}, the multipass translocon should be capable of accommodating a wide variety of diverse substrates.

Online content

Any methods, additional references, Nature Research reporting summaries, source data, extended data, supplementary information, acknowledgements, peer review information; details of author contributions and competing interests; and statements of data and code availability are available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05336-2.

- von Heijne, G. The membrane protein universe: what's out there and why bother? J. Intern. Med. 261, 543–557 (2007).
- Hegde, R. S. & Keenan, R. J. The mechanisms of integral membrane protein biogenesis. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 23, 107–124 (2022).
- Blobel, G. Intracellular protein topogenesis. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 77, 1496–1500 (1980).
- Heinrich, S. U., Mothes, W., Brunner, J. & Rapoport, T. A. The Sec61p complex mediates the integration of a membrane protein by allowing lipid partitioning of the transmembrane domain. *Cell* **102**, 233–244 (2000).
- Görlich, D. & Rapoport, T. A. Protein translocation into proteoliposomes reconstituted from purified components of the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. *Cell* 75, 615–630 (1993).
- Martoglio, B., Hofmann, M. W., Brunner, J. & Dobberstein, B. The protein-conducting channel in the membrane of the endoplasmic reticulum is open laterally toward the lipid bilayer. *Cell* 81, 207–214 (1995).
- High, S. et al. Sec61p is adjacent to nascent type I and type II signal-anchor proteins during their membrane insertion. J. Cell Biol. 121, 743–750 (1993).
- Rapoport, T. A., Li, L. & Park, E. Structural and mechanistic insights into protein translocation. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 33, 369–390 (2017).
- Van den Berg, B. et al. X-ray structure of a protein-conducting channel. Nature 427, 36–44 (2004).
- Chitwood, P. J. & Hegde, R. S. An intramembrane chaperone complex facilitates membrane protein biogenesis. *Nature* 584, 630–634 (2020).
- McGilvray, P. T. et al. An ER translocon for multi-pass membrane protein biogenesis. eLife 9, e56889 (2020).
- Hegde, R. S. & Lingappa, V. R. Membrane protein biogenesis: endoplasmic reticulum. *Cell* 91, 575–582 (1997).
- Shao, S. & Hegde, R. S. Membrane protein insertion at the endoplasmic reticulum. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. 27, 25–56 (2011).
- Chitwood, P. J., Juszkiewicz, S., Guna, A., Shao, S. & Hegde, R. S. EMC is required to initiate accurate membrane protein topogenesis. Cell 175, 1507–1519 (2018).
- O'Keefe, S. et al. An alternative pathway for membrane protein biogenesis at the endoplasmic reticulum. Commun. Biol. 4, 828 (2021).
- Anghel, S. A., McGilvray, P. T., Hegde, R. S. & Keenan, R. J. Identification of Oxa1 homologs operating in the eukaryotic endoplasmic reticulum. *Cell Rep.* 21, 3708–3716 (2017).
- Guna, A., Volkmar, N., Christianson, J. C. & Hegde, R. S. The ER membrane protein complex is a transmembrane domain insertase. *Science* 359, 470–473 (2018).

- Do, H., Falcone, D., Lin, J., Andrews, D. W. & Johnson, A. E. The cotranslational integration of membrane proteins into the phospholipid bilayer is a multistep process. *Cell* 85, 369–378 (1996).
- 19. Mothes, W. et al. Molecular mechanism of membrane protein integration into the endoplasmic reticulum. *Cell* **89**, 523–533 (1997).
- Mravic, M. et al. Packing of apolar side chains enables accurate design of highly stable membrane proteins. Science 363, 1418–1423 (2019).
- Cymer, F., von Heijne, G. & White, S. H. Mechanisms of integral membrane protein insertion and folding. J. Mol. Biol. 427, 999–1022 (2015).
- Talbot, B. E., Vandorpe, D. H., Stotter, B. R., Alper, S. L. & Schlondorff, J. S. Transmembrane insertases and N-glycosylation critically determine synthesis, trafficking, and activity of the nonselective cation channel TRPC6. J. Biol. Chem. 294, 12655–12669 (2019).
- Meacock, S. L., Lecomte, F. J. L., Crawshaw, S. G. & High, S. Different transmembrane domains associate with distinct endoplasmic reticulum components during membrane integration of a polytopic protein. *Mol. Biol. Cell* 13, 4114–4129 (2002).
- Ismail, N., Crawshaw, S. G. & High, S. Active and passive displacement of transmembrane domains both occur during opsin biogenesis at the Sec61 translocon. J. Cell Sci. 119, 2826–2836 (2006).
- Sundaram, A. et al. Substrate-driven assembly of a translocon for multipass membrane proteins. Nature https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05330-8 (2022).
- 26. Pfeffer, S. et al. Dissecting the molecular organization of the translocon-associated protein complex. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 14516 (2017).
- 27. Dettmer, U. et al. Transmembrane protein 147 (TMEM147) is a novel component of the Nicalin-NOMO protein complex. *J. Biol. Chem.* **285**, 26174–26181 (2010).
- 28. Lewis, A. J. O. & Hegde, R. S. A unified evolutionary origin for the ubiquitous protein transporters SecY and YidC. *BMC Biol.* **19**, 266 (2021).
- Mariappan, M. et al. The mechanism of membrane-associated steps in tail-anchored protein insertion. *Nature* 477, 61–66 (2011).
- Jumper, J. et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction with AlphaFold. Nature 596, 583–589 (2021).
- Tunyasuvunakool, K. et al. Highly accurate protein structure prediction for the human proteome. *Nature* 596, 590–596 (2021).
- Voorhees, R. M., Fernández, I. S., Scheres, S. H. W. & Hegde, R. S. Structure of the mammalian ribosome-Sec61 complex to 3.4 Å resolution. Cell 157, 1632–1643 (2014).
- Plath, K., Mothes, W., Wilkinson, B. M., Stirling, C. J. & Rapoport, T. A. Signal sequence recognition in posttranslational protein transport across the yeast ER membrane. *Cell* 94, 795–807 (1998).
- Voorhees, R. M. & Hegde, R. S. Structure of the Sec61 channel opened by a signal sequence. Science 351, 88–91 (2016).
- Li, L. et al. Crystal structure of a substrate-engaged SecY protein-translocation channel. Nature 531, 395–399 (2016).
- Keenan, R. J., Freymann, D. M., Walter, P. & Stroud, R. M. Crystal structure of the signal sequence binding subunit of the signal recognition particle. Cell 94, 181–191 (1998).
- Mateja, A. et al. The structural basis of tail-anchored membrane protein recognition by Get3. Nature 461, 361–366 (2009).
- Fry, M. Y., Saladi, S. M. & Clemons, W. M. The STI1-domain is a flexible alpha-helical fold with a hydrophobic groove. *Protein Sci.* 30, 882–898 (2021).
- Wang, F., Brown, E. C., Mak, G., Zhuang, J. & Denic, V. A chaperone cascade sorts proteins for posttranslational membrane insertion into the endoplasmic reticulum. *Mol. Cell* 40, 159–171 (2010).
- Shao, S. & Hegde, R. S. A calmodulin-dependent translocation pathway for small secretory proteins. Cell 147, 1576–1588 (2011).
- Itakura, E. et al. Ubiquilins chaperone and triage mitochondrial membrane proteins for degradation. *Mol. Cell* 63, 21–33 (2016).
- Perara, E. & Lingappa, V. R. A former amino terminal signal sequence engineered to an internal location directs translocation of both flanking protein domains. J. Cell Biol. 101, 2292–2301 (1985).
- Kalies, K. U., Görlich, D. & Rapoport, T. A. Binding of ribosomes to the rough endoplasmic reticulum mediated by the Sec61p-complex. J. Cell Biol. 126, 925–934 (1994).
- Hennon, S. W., Soman, R., Zhu, L. & Dalbey, R. E. YidC/Alb3/Oxa1 family of insertases. J. Biol. Chem. 290, 14866–14874 (2015).
- The UniProt Consortium UniProt: the universal protein knowledgebase. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, 2699–2699 (2018).
- 46. von Heijne, G. Membrane-protein topology. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 7, 909–918 (2006).
- Forrest, L. R. Structural symmetry in membrane proteins. Annu. Rev. Biophys. 44, 311–337 (2015).

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Springer Nature or its licensor (e.g. a society or other partner) holds exclusive rights to this article under a publishing agreement with the author(s) or other rightsholder(s); author self-archiving of the accepted manuscript version of this article is solely governed by the terms of such publishing agreement and applicable law.

© The Author(s), under exclusive licence to Springer Nature Limited 2022

Methods

Plasmids, gene blocks and antibodies

Constructs for in vitro translation (IVT) in RRL were cloned into a pSP64-based vector or ordered as gene blocks (Integrated DNA Technologies) containing a 5' P6 promoter for transcription, and are described in Supplementary Table 2. Antibodies were either procured from commercial sources or custom antibodies that have been described previously, as detailed in Supplementary Table 3.

Cell culture

HEK293 Flp-In TRex cells (Invitrogen) were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS). The HEK293-derived Asterix, CCDC47 and TMCO1 KO cell lines have previously been described^{10,11}. CRISPR-Cas9-mediated disruption of TMEM147 was performed using the plasmid pSPCas9(BB)-2A-Puro (PX459) (Addgene) encompassing the guide RNA 5'-CGACGATGTAATGGACCTAG-3'. Transfected cells were selected for 48 h with 1 µg ml⁻¹ puromycin. Remaining cells were sorted into 96-well plates, at one cell per well concentration, to select for single-cell colonies, which were then expanded and screened for successful gene disruption. Cell lines with various stably expressed doxycycline-inducible reporters have been described^{10,14,17}. These reporter cell lines were grown in DMEM supplemented with tetracycline-free FCS (Biosera), 15 μg ml⁻¹ blasticidin and 100 μg ml⁻¹ hygromycin. Cell lines were not authenticated beyond ensuring the presence of known antibiotic resistance markers within their genomes (by growth in the relevant antibiotics) and by their unique FRT site downstream of a doxycycline-inducible promoter as determined by the ability to integrate fluorescent reporters at this site. Cell lines were tested for mycoplasma monthly and found to be negative.

Flow cytometry analysis

For knockdown experiments in reporter cell lines, siRNAs were transfected using the reagent Lipofectamine RNAiMAX according to the manufacturer's instructions (Thermo Fisher Scientific). After 48 h, a second round of siRNA transfection was performed and cells analysed 24 h later. Fluorescent reporter expression was induced with 1 µg ml⁻¹ doxycycline in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS for 6 h before analysis by flow cytometry. Sec61 inhibitors (or DMSO for control samples) were included during induction. Inhibitors were used at the following concentrations: Apratoxin, 200 nM: Ipomoeassin F. 200 nM: CT8.1.000 nM. as described previously^{15,48-50}. Cells were collected in ice-cold PBS, washed and resuspended in PBS supplemented with 2% FCS and 1 ug ml⁻¹ DAPI (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Cells were then passed through a 70 µm filter immediately before analysis using either a Beckton Dickinson LSRII or LSRFortessa instrument. A total of at least 20,000 fluorescent and live (negative for DAPI staining) cells were collected (Supplementary Fig. 2 provides an example of how gating was performed). For experiments with a transiently transfected reporter (N_{ext} - $\beta_1 AR$), the plasmid was transfected into wild-type (WT) HEK293 Flp-In TRex cells using Transit 293 reagent (Mirus) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Twelve hours post transfection, cells were treated with 200 nM Apratoxin (or DMSO) in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and incubated for a further 12 h before analysis by flow cytometry as above. Flow cytometry data were analysed using FlowJo (v.10.8.0).

Preparation of SP cells

Semipermeabilized cells were prepared by modification of earlier protocols⁴¹. All steps of SP cell preparation were performed at 0–4 °C on cells at roughly 70% confluency, typically in a 10 cm dish. After removal of growth medium, cells were washed once with ice-cold PBS, collected by gentle pipetting in 1 ml of PBS and counted using a Scepter 2.0 Cell Counter (Merck Millipore) with a 60 μ M sensor (Merck Millipore, no. PHCC60050). Cells were recovered by centrifugation for 2 min

at 5,000 r.p.m. in a microcentrifuge, washed once with ice-cold PBS then resuspended in 1 ml of 1× 'physiologic salt buffer' (PSB: 50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 100 mM KOAc, 2.5 mM Mg(OAc)₂) supplemented with 0.01% digitonin. Following 10 min of incubation on ice, cells were collected by centrifugation, washed twice with 1× PSB then resuspended in 0.5× PSB to a concentration of 4×10^7 cells ml⁻¹. SP cells were used immediately, without freezing, at a final concentration in translation reactions of 4×10^6 cells ml⁻¹.

In vitro translation

All in vitro transcription reactions used PCR-generated templates containing the SP6 promoter^{51,52}. Transcription reactions were carried out for 1 h at 37 °C. The resulting transcript was used without further purification and was diluted 1:20 in the IVT reaction, which was carried out in RRL as described previously^{51,52}. Where indicated in the figure legends, the reaction was supplemented with either cRMs, prepared and used according to the method of Walter and Blobel⁵³, or SP cells prepared as above. Labelling of nascent proteins was achieved by the inclusion of ³⁵S-methionine (500 µCi ml⁻¹). Site-specific incorporation of the photo-crosslinkable amino acid BPA was achieved via amber suppression as described previously⁵⁴. In brief, amber codon(s) were suppressed by supplementation of translation reactions with 0.1 mM BPA, 5 μM B. Stearothermophilus transfer RNA (tRNA^{Tyr}) with a CUA anti-codon and 0.25 µM BPA-tRNA synthetase. In experiments with Sec61 inhibitors, the drugs (dissolved in DMSO) were added to yield a final concentration of 2 µM. Control samples without the inhibitor included an equivalent volume of DMSO. All translation reactions were incubated for 30 min at 32 °C, then halted by transfer of samples to ice. All further steps were performed at 0-4 °C, unless stated otherwise. Before SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) analysis, tRNA on RNCs was removed by adjusting the sample to $50 \,\mu g \,ml^{-1}$ RNaseA, 10 mM EDTA and 0.05% SDS with incubation at 10-15 min at room temperature.

Affinity purification of RNCs

Biochemical analysis of proteins associated with defined RNC intermediates (Figs. 1 and 4b and Extended Data Figs. 1e-g and 7a-c,g) was done by immunoblotting of products affinity purified via an epitope tag on the nascent chain. In the first step, microsomes from the IVT reactions were recovered by centrifugation at 4 °C in a TLA-55 rotor (Beckman) for 20 min at 55.000 r.p.m. The pellet was washed three times with 1× RNC buffer (50 mM HEPES-KOH pH 7.5, 200 mM KOAc, $5 \,\mathrm{mM}\,\mathrm{Mg}(\mathrm{OAc})_2$) then resuspended in one-fourth the volume of the original translation reaction. Resuspended microsomes were diluted eightfold in solubilization buffer (1× RNC buffer supplemented with 1.5% digitonin) and incubated for 10-30 min on ice. Insoluble material was sedimented for 15 min at 20,000g and 4 °C in a microcentrifuge, and the supernatant transferred to 20-50 µl of either anti-FLAG-M2 affinity resin (Sigma-Aldrich) or Streptactin sepharose (IBA Lifesciences) previously equilibrated in 1× RNC buffer supplemented with 0.25% digitonin (wash buffer). After 2 h with gentle end-over-end rotation at 4 °C, beads were washed three times with wash buffer then transferred to a new tube. The anti-FLAG resin was eluted with 0.25 mg ml⁻¹ 3× FLAG peptide (Sigma-Aldrich) in wash buffer at 22 °C for 30 min with agitation. Streptactin sepharose was eluted with 50 mM biotin in wash buffer on ice for 1 h. Eluates were analysed by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotting, with antibodies indicated in the respective figures.

Substrate architecture in the ribosome-translocon complex

Estimates of the position of the substrate relative to the ribosome and translocon are based on established dimensions of the mammalian ribosome–Sec61 complex³². The exit tunnel of the ribosome accommodates a minimum of about 30 residues for an extended polypeptide, and around 40 residues if the chain has some degree of secondary structure. Approximately 10 and 35 residues, respectively, are needed to span the

distance from the mouth of the exit tunnel to the cytosolic and lumenal sides of the membrane, assuming that the membrane-embedded part of the nascent chain is an alpha-helical TMD. The distance spanned by an unstructured coil was assumed to be, on average, around 2 Å per residue. Hence, the distance of around 50 Å from the exit tunnel to the lumenal end of the Sec61 channel would be spanned by about 25 residues of unstructured polypeptide. This means that a total of 55–65 residues is needed to span from the P-site tRNA to the lumen through Sec61, consistent with the structure of an early secretory protein translocation intermediate³⁴. Similarly, approximately 35 residues are needed to span the distance of Asterix. Crosslinks can be seen at shorter tether lengths¹⁰, presumably because the nascent chain can sample more extended conformations that become trapped following crosslinking.

PAT complex reconstitution in Asterix KO SP cells

Functional analysis of Asterix and various mutants was based on replenishment of Asterix KO cells by in vitro translated Asterix (Figs. 2c,d and 3b and Extended Data Fig. Sb–f). SP cells, prepared as described above, were included during IVT of Asterix. The reaction contained ³⁵S-methionine in experiments in which Asterix was radiolabelled (Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 5b,d,f) or cold methionine (at 40 μ M) in experiments in which unlabelled Asterix was subsequently tested for interaction with radiolabelled substrate (Figs. 2d and 3b and Extended Data Fig. 5c,e). If desired, amber suppression reagents (see above) were included during translation to incorporate BPA into specified sites in Asterix. Following translation, the reaction was cooled on ice and SP cells isolated by centrifugation through a 100 μ l sucrose cushion at 10,000 r.p.m. for 5–10 min in a microcentrifuge at 4 °C. Cells were resuspended in 1× PSB before immediate use (without freezing) in downstream analyses, as described below.

In separate parallel experiments, native CCDC47 IP was performed to verify successful reconstitution of each Asterix variant into the PAT complex (Extended Data Fig. 5b,f). In these analytical-scale experiments, ³⁵S-methionine-labelled Asterix variants were translated in the presence of Asterix KO SP cells in a total reaction volume of 20 µl. After translation, SP cells were isolated by centrifugation through a 100 µl sucrose cushion at 10,000 r.p.m. for 5-10 min in a microcentrifuge. The pellet was resuspended in 50 µl of 1× RNC buffer and diluted eightfold in 1× RNC buffer supplemented with 1.5% digitonin. After 10-30 min on ice, insoluble material was removed by centrifugation for 15 min at 20,000g and 4 °C in a microcentrifuge. The supernatant was incubated with end-over-end rotation for 3 h at 4 °C with 1 ul of anti-CCDC47 antibody and 5 µl of CaptivA Protein A sepharose (Repligen). The resin was washed three times with wash buffer, transferred to a new tube and eluted in SDS-PAGE sample buffer by heating to 95 °C. Co-immunoprecipitated Asterix was detected by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography.

Preparation of substrate RNCs for downstream insertion assays

The 35 S-labelled substrate RNCs used for subsequent insertion assays were prepared by IVT. To isolate these RNCs, a 200 μ l translation reaction of 35 S-methionine-labelled truncated Rhodopsin was layered on a 2 ml 10–50% (w/v) sucrose gradient. The gradient was prepared in 11 × 34 mm² centrifuge tubes (Beckman Coulter, no. 347357) by successive layering of 400 μ l each of 50, 40, 30, 20 and 10% sucrose solution in 1× PSB. Gradients were equilibrated for 30 min at 4 °C before use. Samples were centrifuged in a TLS-55 rotor (Beckman Coulter) at 55,000 r.p.m. for 1 h and 4 °C with slow acceleration and deceleration settings. Eleven 200 μ l fractions were successively collected from the top and analysed by SDS–PAGE and autoradiography. The two fractions containing the majority of RNCs (generally fractions 6 and 7) were pooled and supplemented with 1 mM guanosine 5'-triphosphate. The isolated RNCs were used directly in insertion reactions with PAT

complex-reconstituted SP cells, or snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C for later use in translocation reactions.

Photo-crosslinking via probes in Asterix

Site-specific Asterix-CCDC47 interactions (Fig. 2c and Extended Data Fig. 5d) were analysed in SP cells reconstituted from the PAT complex with ³⁵S-labelled Asterix variants containing BPA installed at defined sites by amber suppression, as described above. Reconstituted resuspended SP cells were transferred to a 384-well plate on ice. Samples were irradiated for 10 min using a UV UVP B-100 series lamp (UVP LLC) positioned 10 cm above the sample. Samples were analysed directly by SDS–PAGE and autoradiography, or after denaturing of IPs as described below.

Probing of substrate-Asterix interactions in PAT complexreconstituted SP cells (Fig. 2d and Extended Data Fig. 5c,e) was accomplished by resuspending sedimented SP cells in the isolated RNC mix at a concentration of 4×10^6 cells ml⁻¹. After an incubation for 10 min at 32 °C to allow substrate insertion, samples were placed on ice for either photo-crosslinking as described above (Extended Data Fig. 5e) or chemical crosslinking (Extended Data Fig. 5c), with 250 μ M bismaleimidohexane (BMH, Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 15 min on ice. Chemical crosslinking reactions were quenched with 1 mM DTT. Samples were either analysed directly by SDS–PAGE and autoradiography or after denaturing IP (described below), as indicated in individual figure legends.

Photo-crosslinking via probes in the substrate

In the experiments shown in Figs. 3b and 4d and Extended Data Fig. 7d, photo-crosslinking used probes in the substrate. As shown in Fig. 3b, ³⁵S-methionine-labelled RNC containing BPA was generated in a membrane-free IVT reaction and isolated as described above. The isolated RNC was incubated with the desired SP cells for post-translational insertion as described above. The suspension after post-translational insertion was UV irradiated, digested free of tRNA as described above and either analysed directly or after IP as indicated in the individual figure legends. Figure 4d and Extended Data Fig. 7d show translation of the Rho-4TMD substrate RNC with ³⁵S-methionine and the desired SP cells. Amber codons and amber suppression were used as described above to incorporate BPA into the substrate at defined positions, as indicated in individual figures. The SP cells were isolated, resuspended and subjected to UV crosslinking as described above. To separate RNCs from prematurely terminated products. RNCs were recovered by centrifugation. Here, SP cells were lysed for 15 min on ice in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM KOAc, 5 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 0.5% Triton X-100, 1 mM DTT and 1× EDTA-free protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche). The lysate was clarified by spinning for 10 min at 15,000g in a microcentrifuge at 4 °C. The supernatant was layered onto a 20% sucrose cushion in 50 mM HEPES pH 7.4, 100 mM KOAc, 5 mM Mg(OAc)₂ and 1 mM DTT in 8×34 mm² centrifuge tubes (Beckman Coulter, no. 343776). The sample was centrifuged at 100,000 r.p.m. in a TLA-120.1 rotor (Beckman Coulter) at 4 °C for 1 h. The supernatant, containing the prematurely terminated translation products, was then discarded. The RNC pellet was resuspended and digested of tRNA, as described above, before either direct analysis by SDS-PAGE or denaturing IP using antibodies to Asterix or subunits of the Sec61 complex as indicated in individual figures.

Cysteine-based chemical crosslinking

For the experiment shown in Extended Data Fig. 7h, 35 S-methioninelabelled RNCs containing a cysteine in the first TMD were generated by IVT in the presence of different SP cells, as indicated in the figure. Cells were then pelleted through a sucrose cushion and resuspended in 1× PSB. The suspension was supplemented with BMH to 250 μ M concentration and incubated for 15 min on ice. The reaction was quenched with 1 mM DTT on ice for 5 min. The sample was then digested free of tRNA as described above and denatured by heating to 95 °C for 10 min in SDS–PAGE sample buffer. Samples were either directly analysed by SDS–PAGE and autoradiography or subjected to denaturing anti-Asterix IP, as indicated in individual figure legends.

Protease protection assays

The topology of reconstituted Asterix in Asterix KO SP cells was assessed by protease protection assay using trypsin (Extended Data Fig. 5b). After IVT of WT and mutant Asterix in SP cells, these were isolated through a sucrose cushion and resuspended in 1× PSB. Trypsin was added at 0.25 mg ml⁻¹ and the reaction incubated for 1 h on ice. The reaction was stopped by the addition of tenfold excess of trypsin inhibitor. SP cells were re-isolated by centrifugation through a sucrose cushion and denatured in SDS–PAGE sample buffer. Samples were analysed directly or processed for denaturing by anti-FLAG IP.

Proteinase K protection assay for different integral membrane or secreted proteins (Fig. 4e and Extended Data Fig. 9) was done directly following the translation reaction previously described^{52,55}. In brief, translation reactions performed in the absence or presence of cRMs and Sec61 inhibitors (as described above) were put on ice then divided into aliquots and adjusted to 0.5 mg ml⁻¹ PK (or 10 µg ml⁻¹; Extended Data Fig. 9e) and 1% Triton X-100, as indicated in individual figures. After 1 h on ice, 5 mM of freshly prepared phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) in DMSO was added from 250 mM stock with incubation for 2–5 min on ice to stop the reaction. The entire reaction volume was transferred to ten volumes of boiling 1% SDS and 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. Samples were then analysed by SDS–PAGE and autoradiography or immunoblotting (for tumour necrosis factor- α , which lacks methionine residues in PK-digested fragments). All Sec61 inhibitors were used at 2 µM, as previously characterized^{15,48–50}.

For the protease protection assay of Rho-4TMD substrate RNC (Extended Data Fig. 7f), the ³⁵S-methionine-labelled translation intermediate was generated in the presence of WT or Δ TMCO1 SP cells. After translation, cells were pelleted through a sucrose cushion and resuspended in 1× PSB. Digestion with PK (0.5 mg ml⁻¹) in the presence or absence of detergent was performed as described above. The reaction was quenched with PMSF as above and transferred to ten volumes of boiling 1% SDS and 100 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0. The sample was then diluted and subjected to denaturing IP as described below. RNase (10 ng ml⁻¹) and EDTA (5 mM) were included during IP to digest peptide-associated tRNA.

Immunoprecipitation

Denaturing IP was performed on samples denatured in SDS–PAGE sample buffer by heating for 10 min at 95 °C. After cooling, samples were diluted tenfold in IP buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 100 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM Mg(OAc)₂, 1% Triton X-100) and incubated for 2–3 h at 4 °C with either 5 μ l of anti-FLAG-M2 affinity resin (Sigma-Aldrich), Streptactin sepharose (IBA Lifesciences) or CaptivA Protein A sepharose (Repligen), plus the desired antibody. The resin was washed three times with 0.5 ml each of IP buffer and eluted in SDS–PAGE sample buffer by heating to 95 °C.

Mass spectrometry

Translation reactions containing transcripts coding for early- or late-insertion intermediates were subjected to affinity purification of RNCs as described above. Rather than eluting RNCs from the resin, they were washed twice in RNC buffer without digitonin. Samples were then subjected to on-bead digestion with trypsin followed by peptide TMT labelling (Thermo Fisher Scientific, no. 90110) and protein identification by mass spectrometry, as described previously⁵⁴.

In brief, trypsin-digested samples were desalted using home-made C18 stage tips (3M Empore) filled with porous R3 (Applied Biosystems) resin. The stage tips were equilibrated with 80% acetonitrile (MeCN)/0.5% formic acid (FA) followed by 0.5% FA. Bound peptides were eluted with 30–80% MeCN/0.5% FA and lyophilized. Dried peptide mixtures from each condition were resuspended in 40 μ l of 200 mM

HEPES pH 8.5. Next, 20 µl of TMT 10plex reagent (Thermo Fisher Scientific), reconstituted in 41 ul of anhydrous MeCN according to the manufacturer's instructions, was added and incubated at room temperature for 1 h. The labelling reaction was then terminated by incubation with 4 ul of 5% hydroxylamine for 30 min. Labelled samples were subsequently pooled into a single sample. Acetonitrile was evaporated in a SpeedVac, desalted (using stage tips prepared according to the method described above) and then fractionated using 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate and acetonitrile gradients. The eluate was acidified, concentrated in a SpeedVac and subjected to liquid chromatographytandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) using a fully automated Ultimate 3000 RSLC nano System (Thermo Fisher Scientific) fitted with a 100 µm × 2 cm PepMap100 C18 nanotrap column and a 75 µm × 25 cm reverse-phase nanoEase M/Z HSS C18 T3 column (Waters). Peptides were separated using a binary gradient consisting of buffer A (2% MeCN, 0.1% FA) and buffer B (80% MeCN, 0.1% FA). Peptides were eluted at a flow rate of 300 nl min⁻¹ with an increasing acetonitrile gradient. The high-performance LC system was coupled to a Q Exactive Plus hybrid quadrupole-Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a nanospray ionization source.

The acquired MS/MS raw files were processed using Proteome Discoverer (v.2.1, Thermo Scientific). MS/MS spectra were searched against *Canis lupus familiaris* (accessed 30 March 2021) and *Oryctolagus cuniculus* (accessed 9 February 2021) UniProt Fasta databases using the Sequest search engine in the Proteome Discoverer package. MS/MS hits were analysed using Scaffold (Proteome Software). The complete data plotted in Fig. 4a are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Sample preparation for electron microscopy

In vitro transcription and translation reactions were performed as described above, using cRMs. A 2 ml translation reaction was chilled on ice, divided in four and each aliquot layered on a 500 μ l cushion of 20% sucrose in 1× RNC buffer. Microsomes were sedimented by centrifugation at 4 °C in a TLA-55 rotor (Beckman Coulter) at 55,000 r.p.m. for 20 min. The cRM pellets were each resuspended in 25 μ l of 1× RNC buffer and pooled. The Rho-2TMD sample was incubated with 250 μ M BMH on ice for 15 min and quenched with 5 mM 2-mercaptoethanol. The Rho-4TMD sample was not crosslinked.

Microsomes were diluted with 400 μ l of solubilization buffer (1× RNC buffer containing 1.5% digitonin) and incubated for 10 min on ice. Digitonin was obtained from Calbiochem and further purified as described previously⁵. The sample was centrifuged at 20,000g and 4 °C for 15 min. The supernatant was transferred to a tube containing 20 μ l of StrepTactin High Performance Sepharose beads (GE Healthcare) and incubated for 1.5 h at 4 °C. The resin was then washed five times with 0.5 ml 1× RNC buffer containing 0.25% digitonin, and eluted by incubation for 1 h on ice with 40 μ l of 1× RNC buffer containing 0.25% digitonin and 50 mM biotin. The absorbance of the eluate for both samples was 3.4 at 260 nm. These were applied to grids immediately, without further dilution or adjustment.

Electron microscopy

Affinity-purified RNCs were vitrified on UltrAuFoil R 1.2/1.3 300-mesh grids (Quantifoil) coated with graphene oxide (Sigma-Aldrich). In a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 4 °C and 100% ambient humidity, each grid was loaded with 3 μ l of sample, blotted for 4 s with Whatman filter papers at a blot force of –15 and plunge-frozen in liquid ethane at 92 K.

Automated data collection was performed on a Titan Krios microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with an XFEG source operating at an accelerating voltage of 300 kV. Defocus was programmed to range between 2.7 and 1.9 μ m. Videos were captured using a K3 Bioquantum direct electron detector (Gatan) operating in super-resolution mode. Videos were dose-fractionated into 54 frames covering a total dose of 54 e⁻ Å⁻². One dataset was collected per sample. For the Rho-2TMD

sample, 17,540 images were collected at ×105,000 magnification (0.83 Å px⁻¹, or 0.415 Å px⁻¹ in super-resolution). For the Rho-4TMD sample, 13,755 images were recorded using a Gatan K3 Bioquantum direct electron detector in super-resolution mode at ×53,000 magnification (1.39 Å px⁻¹, or 0.69 Å px⁻¹ in super-resolution mode).

Image processing

All subsequent steps, except particle picking, were performed in RELION-3.1. Video frames were motion corrected using Motion-Cor2 with 7 × 5 patches and dose weighting. Contrast transfer function parameters were estimated using CTFFIND-4.1. Particles were picked using either cryoSPARC 3.2 (for the Rho-2TMD dataset) or crYOLO (for the Rho-4TMD dataset). In total, 2,444,921 Rho-2TMD and 1,454,565 Rho-4TMD particles were picked. Rho-2TMD particles were then extracted in 412 px boxes, and Rho-4TMD particles in 386 px boxes. These particles were downsampled to 3 Å px⁻¹ and subjected to two-dimensional classification. Classes containing 1,665,551 Rho-2TMD and 1,445,986 Rho-4TMD particles were retained. Three-dimensional (3D) refinement was then performed with a mammalian ribosome low-pass filtered at 70 Å as reference.

Focused classification with partial signal subtraction (FCwSS) was then used to identify the subset of particles containing the PAT complex. Signal outside a soft mask encompassing the translocon and detergent micelle was subtracted from the particle images, which were then subjected to 3D classification using fixed-alignment parameters determined during 3D refinement. Division into seven classes yielded one class with strong density for the PAT complex. For the Rho-2TMD dataset this class comprised 9%, or 148,833, particles and, for Rho-4TMD, 9.7% or 136,812 particles. These particles were then re-extracted without downsampling and 3D refined to obtain Rho-2TMD and Rho-4TMD maps at overall resolution of 3.25 and 3.88 Å, respectively.

We attempted to improve different regions of the map by further FCwSS around individual translocon subcomplexes. For the PAT and BOS subcomplexes this strategy yielded moderately improved density, particularly for the TMD of Nicalin and the ribosome proximal regions of CCDC47, but no qualitatively new information beyond what could be derived from the Rho-2TMD reference map. Attempts to improve the very weak density for the GEL complex by FCwSS were unsuccessful, so this was not included in the final model.

Model building, refinement and validation

The 60S subunit and P-site tRNA from PDB ID 6T59 were used as a starting model for the rabbit ribosome. Models for the four canine translocon subcomplexes were generated using the ColabFold implementation (AlphaFold2_advanced.ipynb notebook)⁵⁶ of Alphafold³⁰. Default settings were used, except that the top-scoring models in each case were refined with Amber-Relax. The quality of each complex was assessed by the predicted local-distance difference test (pLDDT), which provides a per-residue confidence score for each subunit, and the Predicted Aligned Error (PAE), which provides a confidence measure of the predicted protein–protein interface. Models were further validated by their fit to the cryo-EM maps, site-specific photo-crosslinking or comparison with previously determined structures.

For the Rho-2TMD model, the 60S ribosomal subunit and P-site tRNA were placed as rigid bodies into the Rho-2TMD reference map. The C-terminal 38 residues of the stalled nascent chain were built into density in the ribosome exit tunnel. Residues 219–250 of eL6 (RPL6), which pack against a portion of the CCDC47 cytosolic domain, were built into density, and a portion of the 5.8S rRNA loop that contacts TMEM147 was manually adjusted. The Sec61 and PAT complex models were placed into Rho-2TMD submap 2, and each complex was adjusted as a single unit (to maintain the predicted interchain contacts) using tightly restrained real-space refinement in COOT⁵⁷. The BOS complex (excluding NOMO) was placed into Rho-2TMD submap 1 and adjusted similarly. Density for the GEL complex was very weak in the Rho-2TMD

sample and was therefore not included in the final model. Additional low-resolution density in the lumen in the approximate region previously ascribed to the TRAP complex was not assigned.

The Rho-4TMD model was built similarly. After placing the Rho-2TMD model into the Rho-4TMD map, the GEL complex was fit into density and adjusted as a single unit using tightly restrained real-space refinement in COOT. The C-terminal 70 residues (including TMD3) of the stalled Rho-4TMD intermediate were built into density that extends nearly continuously from the ribosomal peptidyl transferase centre into the membrane. The register of the TMD3 helix is approximate, and is based on site-specific photo-crosslinking results showing F115 adjacent to Sec61 α .

Real-space refinement of the Rho-2TMD and Rho-4TMD models was done in PHENIX^{58,59} against the Rho-2TMD reference and Rho-4TMD map, respectively. Three rounds of global minimization and group B factor refinement were performed, with tight secondary structure, reference model, rotamer and Ramachandran restraints applied. Secondary structure and reference model restraints were determined from the starting models. Hydrogen-bonding and base-pair and stacking parallelity restraints were applied to the rRNA. Final model statistics are provided in Extended Data Table 1. Structure figures were generated with UCSF ChimeraX⁶⁰.

Statistics and reproducibility

Reproducibility and reliability of the findings have been ensured in several ways. In most cases, biochemical experiments in vitro and functional assays in cells were performed on separate and fully independent occasions, and verified to give the same result as the example shown in the figures. This applies to each of the following main figure panels, with the number of repeats in parentheses: 1b (3), 1c (2), 2c (3), 2d (2), 3b (2), 3d (3), 4b (2), 4d (2), 4e (2), 4f (2). The same applies to the following Extended Data figure panels: 1d (2), 1e (2), 5b (2), 7b (2), 7c (2), 7d (2), 7f (3), 7g (2), 7h (2), 9b (2), 9e (3), 9g (2), 9h (2), 9i (2). Statistical tests (two-tailed Student's t-test) were performed on the results from Fig. 3d and Extended Data Fig. 7f to verify the significance of the observed difference. Cryo-EM structures were each determined from a single sample. The mass spectrometry experiment (Fig. 4a) was performed once, with key hits or non-hits validated by immunoblotting of multiple independent samples (for example, Fig. 4b, Extended Data Fig. 7a and others). The following Extended Data figure panels were performed once as shown, but with individual key segments of the experiment reproduced as parts of other experiments: 1a-c,f, 5c-f, 7a,e, 9c,d. For example, the crosslinking in Extended Data Fig. 1e was reproduced as part of an earlier experiment titrating crosslinker concentration, another earlier experiment varying crosslinking time and one testing the importance of substrate length (shown in Extended Data Fig. 1a, with radioactive detection rather than immunoblotting). In this way, each of these results can be considered to have been reproduced at least once even if the presented experiment was not formally repeated.

Reporting summary

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

Data availability

Data generated in this study are available in the main article, supplementary materials or in public repositories: nos. EMD-25994 and EMD-26133 of EMDB (www.ebi.ac.uk/emdb) and 7TM3 and 7TUT of PDB (www.rcsb.org). Source data for all gels can be found in Supplementary Fig. 1. The gating strategy for flow cytometry experiments is shown in Supplementary Fig. 2. Source data for Fig. 4a are provided in Supplementary Table 1. Source data for Extended Data Fig. 7f are provided in Supplementary Table 4. In addition, we made use of a previously published structural model (accession no. 6T59 of PDB) and the UniProt Fasta databases (https://www.uniprot.org/proteomes) for *C. lupus familiaris* (accessed 30 March 2021) and *O. cuniculus* (accessed 9 March 2021).

- Ménétret, J. F. et al. Architecture of the ribosome-channel complex derived from native membranes. J. Mol. Biol. 348, 445–457 (2005).
- Pfeffer, S. et al. Structure of the mammalian oligosaccharyl-transferase complex in the native ER protein translocon. *Nat. Commun.* 5, 3072 (2014).
- Henderson, R. et al. Outcome of the first electron microscopy validation task force meeting. Structure 20, 205–214 (2012).
- Ashkenazy, H. et al. ConSurf 2016: an improved methodology to estimate and visualize evolutionary conservation in macromolecules. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W344–W350 (2016).

Acknowledgements We thank V. O. Paavilainen and K. McPhail for providing ApraA, J. Taunton for providing CT8, W. Q. Shi for providing Ipomoeassin F, S.-Y. Peak-Chew for mass spectrometry, J. O'Donnell and V. Chandrasekaran for advice on structural modelling and figures, H. Wang for comments on the manuscript and Hegde laboratory members for productive discussions. This work was supported by the UK Medical Research Council (grant no. MC_UP_A022_1007 to R.S.H.), the MRC International PhD Programme (L.S. and A.J.O.L.), a National Research Foundation of Korea Fellowship (M.K.K.) and the US National Institutes of Health (nos. RO1 GM130051 and RO1 GM086487 to R.J.K.).

Author contributions L.S. performed biochemical and cell-based analyses and contributed Figs. 1, 2c-e, 3a, b, d, 4a, b, d-f and Extended Data Figs. 1a, f, 5, 7 and 9. M.K.K. prepared samples for cryo-EM, collected and processed cryo-EM data, helped with model building and contributed the data in Figs. 2a, b and 4c and Extended Data Figs. 1b-e, 2, 3a-e and 8a-c. A.J.O.L. helped interpret the structural data and contributed the observations and analysis in Fig. 3c and Extended Data Figs. 3f, 4, 6 and 8d. R.J.K. contributed to model building, validation of structures and interpretation of the structural data shown in Figs. 2b,c and 4c and associated Extended Data figures. R.S.H. and R.J.K. provided funding and overall conceptual guidance. R.S.H. conceived the project, oversaw its implementation, mentored and guided L.S., M.K.K. and A.J.O.L. and wrote the paper with input from all other authors.

Competing interests The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-05336-2.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to Ramanujan S. Hegde. Peer review information Nature thanks Osamu Nureki and the other, anonymous, reviewer(s) for their contribution to the peer review of this work.

Reprints and permissions information is available at http://www.nature.com/reprints.

Code availability

No custom codes were used for this study.

- Maifeld, S. V. et al. Secretory protein profiling reveals TNF-α inactivation by selective and promiscuous Sec61 modulators. *Chem. Biol.* 18, 1082–1088 (2011).
- Paatero, A. O. et al. Apratoxin kills cells by direct blockade of the Sec61 protein translocation channel. Cell Chem. Biol. 23, 561–566 (2016).
- Zong, G. et al. Ipomoeassin F binds Sec61α to inhibit protein translocation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 141, 8450–8461 (2019).
- Feng, Q. & Shao, S. In vitro reconstitution of translational arrest pathways. *Methods* 137, 20–36 (2018).
 Sharma, A., Mariappan, M., Appathurai, S. & Hegde, R. S. In vitro dissection of protein
- transford managers, managers, managers, and a region with a substant of protein stransford into the mammalian endoplasmic reticulum. *Methods Mol. Biol.* **619**, 339–363 (2010).
- Walter, P. & Blobel, G. Preparation of microsomal membranes for cotranslational protein translocation. *Methods Enzymol.* 96, 84–93 (1983).
- Lin, Z. et al. TTC5 mediates autoregulation of tubulin via mRNA degradation. Science 367, 100–104 (2020).
- Fons, R. D., Bogert, B. A. & Hegde, R. S. Substrate-specific function of the transloconassociated protein complex during translocation across the ER membrane. *J. Cell Biol.* 160, 529–539 (2003).
- Mirdita, M. et al. ColabFold: making protein folding accessible to all. Nat. Methods 19, 679–682 (2022).
- Emsley, P., Lohkamp, B., Scott, W. G. & Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 486–501 (2010).
- Afonine, P. V. et al. Real-space refinement in PHENIX for cryo-EM and crystallography. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol. 74, 531–544 (2018).
- Liebschner, D. et al. Macromolecular structure determination using X-rays, neutrons and electrons: recent developments in Phenix. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. D Struct. Biol. 75, 861–877 (2019).
- Pettersen, E. F. et al. UCSF ChimeraX: structure visualization for researchers, educators, and developers. Protein Sci. 30, 70–82 (2021).
- Ménétret, J. F. et al. Single copies of Sec61 and TRAP associate with a nontranslating mammalian ribosome. Structure 16, 1126–1137 (2008).



Extended Data Fig. 1 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 1 | Characterisation of the Rho^{ext} construct.

a, ³⁵S-methionine labelled Rho^{ext} ribosome nascent chain complexes (RNCs) of indicated length were synthesised in rabbit reticulocyte lysate (RRL) in the presence of canine pancreas-derived rough microsomes (RMs). Where indicated, RNCs were chemically crosslinked using bismaleimidohexane (BMH). The positions of the glycosylated (+glyc.) and non-glycosylated (-glyc.) translation products are indicated. The crosslink to Asterix (indicated by x-Asx) was verified by immunoprecipitation under denaturing conditions using anti-Asterix antibody (bottom panel). The pattern of PAT complex recruitment for Rho^{ext} construct is similar to the non-extended Rho construct construct described previously¹⁰. **b**, ³⁵S-methionine labelled Rho^{ext}RNC of the indicated length, lacking or containing the glycosylation site, was synthesised in RRL containing or lacking RMs. The translation products were then digested with proteinase K (PK). The population of polypeptides inserted into the membrane is protected from PK. c, Translation products were produced as in panel b (lanes 1 and 4), after which the RMs were isolated by sedimentation (lanes 2 and 5) and subjected to crosslinking with BMH (lanes 3 and 6). The crosslink to Asterix is indicated. d, FLAG or twin-Strep tag (TST) containing membraneinserted RNCs of Rhoext truncated 70 amino acids (aa) beyond TMD1 were subjected to fractionation and affinity purification. The total IVT products were centrifuged to obtain a membrane fraction, which was then solubilised under non-denaturing conditions (soluble fraction). The soluble fraction was then subjected to anti-FLAG affinity purification. Aliquots of the purification (with five-fold more loaded for the elution fraction) were analysed by anti-FLAG immunoblot (top panel). The bottom panel shows the elution fractions of the two purifications immunoblotted for CCDC47, Asterix, RPL8, Sec61β, and EMC2. Serial dilutions of ER microsomes were analysed in parallel, RNCs of Rho^{ext} can be efficiently affinity-purified, recovering the associated PAT complex. Note that the left and right lanes of the CCDC47 and Asterix blots are from the same gel and taken from the same exposure, with the vertical line indicating the point where the lanes were spliced together. e, Membraneinserted RNCs of bovine preprolactin (pPL) truncated 56 residues beyond the

signal sequence³⁴ and Rho^{ext} truncated 70 residues beyond TMD1 were subjected to anti-FLAG affinity purification as in panel d. The TST-tagged Rho^{ext} served as a specificity control. Where indicated, the sample was crosslinked with BMH just prior to solubilisation of RMs. The top panel shows the anti-FLAG immunoblot of the steps of affinity purification (as in panel d), and the bottom panel shows the elution fractions of each purification immunoblotted for CCDC47, Asterix, RPL8, and Sec61a. The blots indicate that the pPL translation intermediate does not associate with the PAT complex, while the PAT complex is recovered with Rho^{ext} with comparable efficiency without or with crosslinking, which proved to be nearly quantitative as judged by the near absence of non-crosslinked Asterix. This indicates that association of the PAT complex with Rho^{ext} RNCs is salt- and detergent-resistant under the purification conditions employed. The faint band in the final lane of the CCDC47 is IgG heavy chain contamination. f, The top panel shows a diagram depicting the construct encoding full-length N-terminal FLAG-tagged TRAM2, whose topology is opposite to that of Rho. The bottom panel shows an experiment analogous to that for Rhoext shown in Fig. 1b. The purified samples were analysed by immunoblot adjacent to serial dilutions of RMs. Equal translation levels and recovery of RNCs is reflected by both the substrate blot and by similar levels of Sec61 subunits and the ribosome. A reaction lacking mRNA served as a negative control for non-specific binding to the affinity resin. Note that as for Rho^{ext} (Fig. 1b), recruitment of the PAT complex to TRAM2 translation intermediates is dependent on nascent chain length. Although a small amount of recruitment is detectable at lengths of 70-100 aa beyond TMD1, the initial point of stable maximal recruitment is observed at 110 aa beyond TMD1.g, Diagrams showing the substrate configurations, approximately to scale, for Rho and TRAM2 at the point of initial stable recruitment of the PAT complex. This corresponds to ~70 aa beyond TMD1 for Rho and -110 aa beyond TMD1 for TRAM2. The difference in length can be accounted for by the different topology of TMD1. The translocon is not shown for simplicity.



Extended Data Fig. 2 | Processing scheme and resolution information of the Rho-2TMD map. a, Flowchart depicting the classification scheme and analysis of cryo-EM micrographs of the Rho-2TMD RNC sample. The classes denoted as "TRAP complex" appear to only contain the core translocon comprised of the Sec61 and TRAP complexes, evidently in somewhat different conformations, as characterised previously in cryo-EM and cryo-electron tomography work^{26,61-63}. These were not pursued further. Note that model building relied almost entirely on the Rho-2TMD reference map, with a few regions employing

the two submaps for verification. The composite map shown in Fig. 2a is solely for illustration purposes as a means of simultaneously displaying the best regions contributed by each map. FCwSS indicates focused classification with signal subtraction. **b**, Fourier shell correlation (FSC) curve for the Rho-2TMD reference map illustrating an overall resolution of 3.25 Å by the gold-standard method⁶⁴. **c**, Two views of the Rho-2TMD reference map coloured by local resolution. Key structural elements are indicated.



Extended Data Fig. 3 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 3 | Features of the Rho-2TMD map and model. a, b, Two views from the plane of the membrane of the Rho-2TMD reference map, lowpass filtered to 7 Å resolution, fitted with the model. The approximate position of the membrane is indicated. The detergent micelle and ribosome are omitted for clarity. c, View from the ER lumen of the low-pass filtered Rho-2TMD reference map fitted with the model. The clipping plane is within the membrane close to the ER lumen. The detergent micelle and ribosome are omitted for clarity, with the position of the ribosome tunnel exit indicated. d, Overview of the low-pass filtered reference map in the context of the detergent micelle and ribosome. The map is shown at two contour levels. The blue line shows a low contour level at which lumenal density and the complete micelle is visible. The opaque density is shown at a higher contour level to visualise the translocon, coloured as in panels a-c. The ribosome and micelle are in transparent grey. **e**, The PAT sub-classified map (submap 2 in Extended Data Fig. 2) filtered by local resolution viewed from the ribosome to illustrate that the regions closest to (and stabilised by) the ribosome are particularly well resolved. The position of the nascent chain density and the mouth of the ribosome exit tunnel are indicated. **f**, Space-filling depictions of the Rho-2TMD model illustrating how the C-terminal region of CCDC47 enters the mouth of the ribosome exit tunnel, abuts the nascent chain, and narrows the exit tunnel dimensions. The top shows overviews before and after clipping, with dashed boxes indicating the regions shown at higher magnification below.



Extended Data Fig. 4 | AlphaFold2 predictions of the PAT, Sec61, GEL and BOS complexes. All AlphaFold2 predictions were obtained using ColabFold. For all complexes, predicted alignment error (PAE) matrices, structural models coloured by the predicted Local Distance Difference Test (pLDDT) and the final models fitted into respective densities are shown. Note that the PAE matrix scale is from 0-5 Å, not the default 0-30 Å output, to emphasise the very high-confidence interactions. a, Human PAT complex, comprised of CCDC47 and Asterix. The final model is fitted into the respective density taken from the PAT sub-classified map (submap 2 in Extended Data Fig. 2) filtered by local resolution. b, Canine Sec61 complex. The final model is fitted into the respective density taken from the Rho-2TMD reference map filtered by local resolution. **c**, Human BOS complex, comprised of TMEM147, Nicalin, and NOMO. The final model (which omitted NOMO because it was not visualised in the map) is fitted into the respective density taken from the TMEM147 sub-classified map (submap 1 in Extended Data Fig. 2) filtered by local resolution. The density for Nicalin is also shown at a very low threshold in transparent white to visualise the lumenal domain density. **d**, Human GEL complex, comprised of TMCO1 and OPTI (C20orf24). The final model is fitted into the respective density taken from the Rho-4TMD map filtered by local resolution.



Extended Data Fig. 5 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 5 | Characterisation of PAT complex reconstitution in SP cells. a, Diagram illustrating the strategy for PAT complex reconstitution with Asterix variants followed by analysis of substrate interaction. Asterix KO cells contain residual levels of endogenous CCDC47. When Asterix is in vitro translated in RRL, supplemented with Asterix KO semi-permeabilised (SP) cells, it is inserted and interacts with CCDC47 to reconstitute the PAT complex. When substrate RNCs are subsequently introduced, their interaction with the PAT complex can be tested. b, ³⁵S-labelled Asterix was translated in the presence of Asterix KO SP cells. The cells were then isolated by centrifugation and analysed by protease protection to check Asterix topology (left) and interaction with CCDC47 by co-immunoprecipitation (IP; right). The cytosolic N-terminus of Asterix is accessible to protease (trypsin), and the remaining protected fragment is recoverable by immunoprecipitation via the C-terminal FLAG-tag. The right panel shows the total *in vitro* translated products (lane 1), the sedimented SP cells (lane 2), native IP with CCDC47 antibody (lane 3) or native IP using control antibody (lane 4). c, Wild-type and amber codoncontaining Asterix variants (at the indicated positions) were translated in RRL (with non-radioactive methionine) containing Asterix KO SP cells and amber suppression reagents for site-specific incorporation of the photocrosslinking amino acid BPA. The cells were isolated and incubated with ³⁵S-labelled Rho RNCs truncated at 70 residues beyond TMD1. The samples were then crosslinked using bismaleimidohexane (BMH) where indicated. The only cysteine of the substrate is located in the first TMD. Note that all Asterix variants form BMH-mediated crosslinks with the substrate, indicating successful reconstitution of the substrate-Asterix interaction. For comparison,

the sample containing BPA at M50 of Asterix was UV-irradiated, illustrating that photo-crosslinks between Asterix and substrate can also be visualised (see also Fig. 2d and panel e). As expected, the efficiency of photo-crosslinking is lower than chemical crosslinking. d, Autoradiographs of photocrosslinking experiments between Asterix and CCDC47. ³⁵S-labelled Asterix variants with BPA at the indicated positions were reconstituted into Asterix KO SP cells as in panel c. The cells were isolated, irradiated with UV light, and analysed directly or after denaturing IP using anti-CCDC47 antibody. e, Non-radioactive asterix variants with BPA at indicated positions were reconstituted into Asterix KO SP cells as in panel c. The reconstituted SP cells were then incubated at 32 °C for 10 min with isolated ³⁵S-labelled Rho RNCs truncated 70 residues beyond TMD1. The samples were irradiated with UV light and analysed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography. The positions of non-glycosylated and glycosylated Rho, and the crosslink to Asterix (x-Asterix) are indicated. Only position 42 showed a strong crosslink among those tested in this experiment. f, Native CCDC47 IPs for the Asterix mutants analysed in Fig. 3b. ³⁵S-labelled Asterix variants were translated in RRL supplemented with Asterix KO SP cells. The SP cells were isolated by centrifugation, solubilised under native conditions, and subjected to immunoprecipitation using anti-CCDC47 antibody. Aliquots of the isolated SP cells (top panel) and products of CCDC47 IP (bottom panel) are shown. Note that a small population of Asterix is evidently inserted in the inverted orientation ("inv. Asterix") and becomes glycosylated. This population does not co-IP with CCDC47, providing an internal specificity control. The scheme for depicting the positions that are mutated is the same as shown in Fig. 3.



$Extended\,Data\,Fig.\,6\,|\,CCDC47\,latch\,helices\,disfavour\,Sec61\,opening.$

a, The Sec61 complex in the Rho-2TMD model (left) is in a closed conformation with the latch helices of CCDC47 abutting the cytosolic loop between TMD2 and TMD3 of Sec61α. The open Sec61 complex (middle, PDB 3JC2) would clash with CCDC47 because the N-half of Sec61 would need to rotate away from the ribosome-bound C-half to accommodate a substrate at the lateral gate (such as a signal peptide in this structure). The right panel shows an alignment of the two structures by their ribosome-binding domains, illustrating how the N-half of Sec61 needs to rotate during lateral gate opening. **b**, Experimental cryo-EM density of reconstructions from the Rho-2TMD dataset from particles containing (left) or lacking (right) the PAT complex. At comparable contour levels where the ribosome and the C-half of Sec61 are essentially the same between the two maps, the N-half density is markedly better in the CCDC47-containing map. At low contour levels, the N-half can be seen in the CCDC47-lacking map, albeit at lower resolution due to presumed heterogeneity

of positions. The differences in Sec61 density in particles without and with the PAT complex cannot be ascribed to refinement differences. The particle alignments during refinement are dominated by the strong signal provided by the ribosome, which does not differ between the two classes. No realignment was performed after focused classification. The fact that the density for the ribosome and many parts of Sec61 is very similar between the two maps provides post hoc validation that the refinement procedure was consistent. Differences in overall flexibility of the translocon-micelle complex relative to the ribosome can be excluded because substantial density differences are seen only in the parts of Sec61 known to move during gating. By contrast, parts of Sec61 that are distal to the RBD but unmoved by gating remain unchanged. For these reasons, we ascribe the difference in Sec61 density between the PAT-containing and PAT-free maps to the former being stabilised in the closed state.





TMD7 (287-308)

.

(kDa)

-

Rhodopsin (32-318)

Veg.

#TMDs exposed

2 3 5 7 7+

Extended Data Fig. 7 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 7 | Analysis of the substrate-engaged multipass translocon. a, RNCs of FLAG-TRAM2 truncated at the indicated lengths (see diagram) were synthesised in RRL supplemented with HEK293 SP cells. The RNCs were affinity-purified under native conditions via the N-terminal FLAGtag (as in Extended Data Fig. 1f) and analysed by immunoblotting for the indicated proteins. The negative control (neg) is a translation reaction without mRNA. b, RNCs of Twin-Strep Tag (TST)-Rho^{ext} (see diagram) truncated 40 residues downstream of TMD2, TMD3, TMD5 or TMD7 were analysed for PAT complex association as in Fig. 1b. The RNC of 7+ length represents a nascent chain truncated 70 residues beyond TMD7. c, RNCs of FLAG-TRAM2 (see diagram) truncated 40 residues downstream of indicated TMD were analysed for PAT complex association as in Extended Data Fig. 1f. d, Photo-crosslinking analysis of ³⁵S-methionine-labelled Rho-4TMD translocation intermediate (as in Fig. 4d) via BPA installed at amber codons in TMD1 (Amb1), TMD3 (Amb3), or both. The top panel shows the autoradiograph of total RNCs after isolation by ultracentrifugation. Three of the key samples were also subjected to immunoprecipitation using the indicated antibodies and analysed in the bottom panel by autoradiography. Non-glycosylated and glycosylated substrate (Rho and Rho+glyc., respectively) and the adducts to Asterix (x-Asterix), Sec61ß $(x-S61\beta)$ and Sec61 α (x-S61 α) are indicated. e, Photo-crosslinking analysis of ³⁵S-methionine-labelled Rho-4TMD translocation intermediate via BPA installed at TMD2 (position 85). Three types of semi-permeabilised cells were compared: wild type (WT), TMCO1KO (Δ TMCO1) and Δ TMCO1 cells transiently transfected with FLAG-tagged TMCO1. The major crosslink seen with WT SP cells is lost in ΔTMCO1SP cells, where other weak crosslinks to similar-sized unidentified proteins are seen. When a subset of the Δ TMCO1 cells now express FLAG-TMCO1, a new crosslink is seen that migrates slightly slower than the major product seen in WT cells. These results verify that the major crosslink in WT cells is TMCO1 and the slightly larger crosslink in the reconstituted Δ TMCO1 cells is FLAG-TMCO1.

Crosslinking with BPA at different positions in TMD2 show that its more hydrophilic face interacts with TMCO1 (summarised in the diagram). f, Protease protection assay of Rho-4TMD RNC in WT or Δ TMCO1SP cells. The autoradiograph shows equal glycosylation indicative of equal efficiencies of TMD1 insertion, but different amounts of fully-protected product indicative of successful 3-TMD insertion. In Δ TMCO1 cells, a larger population of proteins failed to insert TMDs 2 and 3, leading to protease-accessibility (see diagram). PK digestion in the presence of detergent (subscripted d) leads to complete digestion. Graph shows quantification of three independent experiments showing the mean and standard deviation. The difference observed is statistically significant (p = 0.01) by the two-tailed Student's t-test. The source data for this graph is in Supplementary Table 4. g, RNCs of Rho^{ext} stalled 70 residues beyond TMD1 were assembled in WT. AAsterix. ACCDC47. ATMCO1 and ΔTMEM147 SP cells and affinity-purified via the FLAG-tag under native conditions as in Fig. 1b. Total cell lysates and purified RNCs were analysed by immunoblotting for the indicated proteins. h, Bismaleimidohexane (BMH) mediated crosslinking via cysteine in place of F56 in TMD1 of Rhodopsin stalled 70 residues downstream of TMD1 (top panel), or the equivalent RNC in which TMD1 is replaced with 22 leucine residues and a similarly positioned cysteine residue (bottom panel).³⁵S-methionine-labelled RNCs were assembled with WT, Δ Asterix, Δ TMCO1, and Δ TMEM147 SP cells, subjected to BMH crosslinking where indicated, and either directly analysed by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography or after denaturing anti-Asterix IP. Equal glycosylation efficiency in all samples without BMH crosslinking indicate TMD1 insertion is unaffected by the loss of MPT components. Asterix crosslinking (marked as x-Asx) is unimpaired in Δ TMCO1 and Δ TMEM147 SP cells. In Δ Asterix cells, TMD1 of Rho crosslinks to an unidentified product that migrates slightly faster than Asterix (upward arrowhead). The 22L TMD does not crosslink to Asterix or the unidentified product, suggesting these interactions need partial TMD hydrophilicity.



 $Extended\,Data\,Fig.\,8\,|\,Features\,of the\,Rho-4TMD\,map\,and\,model.$

a, Flowchart depicting the classification scheme and analysis of cryo-EM micrographs of the Rho-4TMD RNC sample. The classes denoted as "TRAP complex" appear to only contain the core translocon comprised of the Sec61 and TRAP complexes, evidently in somewhat different conformations, as characterised previously in cryo-EM and cryo-electron tomography work^{26,61-63}. These were not pursued further. **b**, Fourier shell correlation (FSC) curve for the Rho-4TMD map illustrating an overall resolution of 3.88 Å by the gold-standard method⁶⁴. **c**, Two views of the Rho-4TMD reference map coloured by local resolution. Key structural elements, including the density

assigned to TMD3 of the substrate, are indicated. **d**, Space-filling models showing the multipass translocon's highly conserved interior surface that faces the lipid-filled cavity (*left*) and poorly conserved exterior surface that faces the surrounding membrane (*right*). The models are coloured successively (from top to bottom) by protein, hydrophobicity, charge and conservation (calculated by ConSurf⁶⁵). The conserved amphiphilic substrate-binding domain of the PAT complex, the conserved positively-charged hydrophilic vestibule of the GEL complex, and the conserved Sec61-docking site on the BOS complex are each indicated.



Extended Data Fig. 9 | See next page for caption.

Extended Data Fig. 9 | Effect of Sec61 inhibitors on various substrates in vitro and in cells. a, Diagram of substrates tested for their sensitivity to Sec61 inhibitors. Cleavable signal sequences (tan), glycosylation sites (green), and experimentally determined sites of protease accessibility (lavender) are indicated. The core of β 1AR Δ CL3 that is resistant to protease digestion is also indicated. PrP is prion protein; LeP is leader peptidase; ASGR1 is asialoglycoprotein receptor 1; TNF α is tumor necrosis factor alpha. **b-d**, ³⁵S-methionine containing RRL translation reactions in the absence or presence of RMs and 2 µM of the indicated Sec61 inhibitor were analysed by protease protection. Panel b employed Apratoxin A (ApraA, as in Fig. 4e), panel c employed Ipomeassin F (Ipom-F) and panel d used cotransin-8 (CT8). An equivalent volume of DMSO was used as a negative control. The translation products were either left untreated or digested with proteinase K (PK) without or with detergent (subscripted d) as indicated. The samples were analysed directly by SDS-PAGE and autoradiography or immunoblotting via a C-terminal FLAG tag (in the case of TNF α , which lacks methionines in the protease-protected fragment). Red arrows represent the fragments protected from PK digestion that are indicative of successful translocation. The protease-resistant folded core of β1ARΔCL3 is marked by blue arrows. NTF and CTF stand for N-terminal fragment and C-terminal fragment, respectively. Asterisk in the TNF ablot marks a background band that is sometimes detected by the FLAG antibody. e, Protease-protection analysis of C3AR1 (a GPCR) or a variant in which the -180 amino acid long second extracellular loop (EL2) was shortened by deleting 61 residues (from T166 to D326). For simplicity, the majority of the C-terminal cytosolic domain was omitted from the constructs. Translation reactions of C3AR1 (left) and C3AR1\Delta EL2 (right) were performed in the presence or absence of $2 \,\mu$ M ApraA and analyzed by protease protection. At the protease concentration used, all of the short cytosolic loops are resistant to digestion if the protein is inserted correctly, but become accessible if any insertion step

fails (see diagram). Successfully inserted and PK-resistant products are indicated with red downward arrows. Failed insertion of TMD4 in the presence of ApraA has two consequences: the downstream loop is not glycosylated and the protein is protease-accessible downstream of TMD3. Thus, the doublyglycosylated product is not observed and a protected N-terminal fragment (NTF, marked with dark blue upward arrow) representing the first three TMDs is generated upon PK digestion. Note that by these criteria, some failed insertion is seen even without ApraA. C3AR1AEL2 is unaffected by ApraA and the majority of it is correctly inserted. The asterisk marks the position of the C3AR1ΔEL2 fragment generated by PK digestion of the non-inserted (and hence, non-glycosylated) population. f, Diagram of fluorescent protein (FP)tagged substrates tested for their sensitivity to Sec61 inhibitors in cells. 2A is the viral sequence at which peptide bond formation fails without disrupting elongation. Thus, each translation cycle generates two products: an FP-tagged substrate and a different coloured FP that serves as an internal control for translation levels. For RFP-tagged ASGR1 and SQS, GFP, which precedes the viral 2A sequence, serves as the translation control, while RFP fluorescence levels report on the substrate. The other substrates are GFP-tagged, with RFP serving as the translation control. g, Scatter plots of the indicated reporters, each expressed from an inducible promoter in stable cell lines. Cells treated with 200 nM Apratoxin A (ApraA) during reporter induction are shown in blue, while cells treated with vehicle are shown in red. The histograms corresponding to some of these constructs are shown in Fig. 4f. h, Scatter plots of N-terminally extended $(N_{ext})\beta IAR$ and AGTR2 (see diagram in panel a) from an experiment similar to that shown in panel b. N_{ext} -AGTR2 is expressed stably whereas N_{ext} - β 1-AR was expressed for 24 h by transient transfection with ApraA included during the final 12 h. i, Histograms of normalised substrate levels after 6 h of expression in the presence of vehicle (grey), 200 nM Ipomoeassin F (Ipom-F, light blue) or 1 µM CT8 (dark blue).

Extended Data Table 1 | Cryo-EM data collection and real-space refinement of the Rho-2TMD and Rho-4TMD models

	Rho-2TMD (EMDB-25994)	Rho-4TMD (EMDB-26133)
	(PDB 7TM3)	(PDB 7TUT)
Data collection and processing		
Magnification	105,000	53,000
Voltage (kV)	300	300
Electron exposure (e-/A ²)	54	54
Defocus range (µm)	-2.7 to -1.9	-2.7 to -1.9
Pixel size (Å)	1.34	1.39
Symmetry imposed	C1	C1
Initial particle images (no.)	1,665,551	1,445,986
Final particle images (no.)	148,833	136,812
Map resolution (Å)	3.25	3.88
FSC threshold	0.143	0.143
Refinement		
Model resolution (Å)	3.2	4.1
FSC threshold	0.5	0.5
Model composition		
Non-hydrogen atoms	149,944	152,599
Protein residues	8213	8542
Nucleotide bases	3895	3895
Ligands	Mg ²⁺ : 220	Mg ²⁺ : 220
	Zn^{2+} : 5	Zn^{2+} : 5
B factors (\hat{A}^2)		
Protein	183	205
Nucleotide	139	199
Ligand	104	161
R m s deviations		
Bond lengths (Å)	0 004	0 004
Bond angles (°)	0.681	0.673
Validation	0.001	0.075
MolProbity score	1 79	1.85
Clashscore	6.88	7.36
Poor rotamers (%)	0.86	1.13
Ramachandran plot	0.00	
Favored (%)	93.9	94.0
Allowed (%)	60	5.8
Disallowed (%)	0.1	0.2